MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor's Name and Address Surgical and Diagnostic Center, LP 729 Bedford Euless Road West, Suite 100

Hurst, Texas 76053

Respondent's Name and Address American Home Assurance Company C/o Flahive Ogden & Latson Box 19 BECHNE

AUG 2 2 2005

FLAHIVE, OGDEN & LATSON

() Insurance Carrier
MDR Tracking No.:

M4-05-1281-01

TWCC No.:

Injured Employee's Name:

Date of Injury:

Employer's Name:

Insurance Carrier's No.:

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Type of Requestor: (x) Health Care Provider () Injured Employee

Dates of Service		CPT C. I.() P		***
From	То	CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
11/13/03	11/13/03	81.83—Arthroscopy, Shoulder	\$3,815.72	\$0.00
11/13/03	11/13/03	85025, 80051, 86701, 93005, 93010—Lab Fees	\$181.00	\$0.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Our charges are fair and reasonable based on other insurance companies determination of fair and reasonable payments of 85-100% of our billed charges. Workers' Compensation Carriers are subject to a duty of good faith dealing in the process of workers' compensation claims.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Pd MAR/fee for ASC/outpt services. Carrier reimbursed fair & reasonable per fee guidelines.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it appears that neither the requestor nor the respondent provided convincing documentation that sufficiently discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that their purported amount is a fair and reasonable reimbursement (Rule 133.307). The failure to provide persuasive information that supports their proposed amounts makes rendering a decision difficult. After reviewing the services, the charges, and both parties' positions, it is determined that no other payment is due.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study (from 192.6% to 256.3% of Medicare for this particular year). Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute. Based on this review, the original reimbursement on these services is within the medium to high end of the Ingenix range. According to the CMS/ASC guidelines, lab fees are included in the facility fees and not separately payable. The decision for no additional reimbursement was then presented to a staff team with health care provider billing and insurance adjusting experience. This team considered the decision and discussed the facts of the individual case.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' posi- experienced staff members in Medical Review, we f	tions, the Ingenix range for applicable find that no additional reimbursement	e procedures, and the consensus of other is due for these services.
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION		
Based upon the review of the disputed heals requestor is not entitled to additional reimb	thcare services, the Medical Re oursement.	eview Division has determined that the
O o los Hayes as O o	Debra Hausenfluck	August 17, 2005
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Decision
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARI	NG	
If you are unhappy with all or part of this decision, you were issued during the month of August 2005, should house Bill 7, recently enacted by the 79th Texas Legoral pending for a hearing at the State Office of Administration. This means that the usual 20-day window to parties during this transition phase. If you wish to see to have your request for a hearing to the Commission request to SOAH for docketing. A request for a SOAH 7787, Austin, Texas 78744 or faxed to 512-804-408 (Seginning September 1, 2005, appeals of medical discounty [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as a fater than 30 days after the date on which the decision of the prefiere hablar con una persona in español	gislature, provides that an appeal of a trative Hearings (SOAH) on or before a appeal to SOAH, found in Commissive an appeal of this medical dispute in as early as possible to allow sufficie AH hearing should be sent to: Chief (1) A copy of this Decision should be spute resolution orders are procedural amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005) in that is the subject of the appeal is fit	medical dispute resolution order that is not e August 31, 2005 is not entitled to a SOAH sion Rule 148.3, will be shortened for some resolution order to SOAH, you are encouraged ent time for the Commission to submit your Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box be attached to the request. Illy made directly to a district court in Travis and appeal able.
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CE	ERTIFICATION	
hereby verify that I received a copy of this Dec		's box.
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date: